


REMARKS:

Applicants hereby elect group 1 (claims 1-23) with traverse.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has indicated that the device claims are distinct because they can be made by a materially different process. The rule cited by the Examiner clearly indicates that the product *as claimed* must be able to be made by a different process. Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement because the claims of Group 2 specifically require that the device be made by the processes of group 1. Accordingly, *as claimed*, the products of group 2 cannot be made by a different process. For instance, claim 24 is a head formed by the method of claim 1. If the Examiner disagrees with our conclusion, clarification is requested as to how a head specifically required to be created by the method of claim 1 (for example) can be created by a materially different process.

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 971-2573. For payment of any additional fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2587 (Order No. HSJ920030096US1).

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Dominic M. Kotab  
Reg. No. 42,762

Date: 3/3/05

Zilka-Kotab, PC  
P.O. Box 721120  
San Jose, California 95172-1120  
Telephone: (408) 971-2573  
Facsimile: (408) 971-4660

HIT1P016/HSJ920030096US1

- 2 -